UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/589,263	08/14/2006	Takabumi Nagai	Q96554	6050
23373 7590 01/29/2010 SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800 WASHINGTON, DC 20037			EXAMINER	
			WONG, EDNA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/29/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

sughrue@sughrue.com PPROCESSING@SUGHRUE.COM USPTO@SUGHRUE.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/589,263	NAGAI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	EDNA WONG	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	Lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	-· action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the merits is				
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
·	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.	∂) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
application from the International Bureau	•	a III alio Hadoliai Glago				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list		d.				
	or and corumou copies net record	.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	te				
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application				
r aper mo(s)mian bate	o) 🔲 Other					

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) **1-6 and 12-19**, drawn to a method for conducting electroplating in the presence of CO₂ and a metal salt-containing aqueous solution and a plating bath.

Group II, claim(s) 7, drawn to a plating bath.

Group III, claim(s) 8, drawn to an additive.

Group **IV**, claim(s) **9**, drawn to a method for preprocessing conducted before plating.

Group **V**, claim(s) **10**, drawn to a method for postprocessing conducted after plating.

Group **VI**, claim(s) **11**, drawn to a plated film.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The plating bath of Group II is not chemically distinguished by its use in an electroplating method and reads on an electroless plating bath.

The additive of Group II is a compound and is not chemically distinguished by its

Application/Control Number: 10/589,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 1795

use.

Electroplating is not the same as preprocessing conducted before plating and postprocessing conducted after plating.

Preprocessing conducted before plating is not the same as postprocessing conducted after plating.

A plated film is not structurally distinguished by an additive or a method.

If Groups I, II, III, IV or V is elected, then the following species restriction requirement applies:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The CO₂-Affinitive Moiety (please select one):

(1) homopolymers, bicopolymers and tricopolymers of polyoxypropylene,

polyoxybutylene and/or polyoxyethylene;

- (2) fluorine-containing alkyl groups in which some or all of the hydrogen atoms are substituted by fluorine;
- (3) fluorine-containing polyether groups in which some or all of the hydrogen atoms are substituted by fluorine; and
 - (4) dialkylsiloxy groups (Claims 1, 7, 8, 9 and 10).

Application/Control Number: 10/589,263

Art Unit: 1795

Page 4

If Group I is elected, then the following species restriction requirement applies:

This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

The Nonionic Compound (please select one):

(i) Wherein the nonionic compound is an ether-based or ester-based compound (Claim 2).

- (ii) Wherein the nonionic compound is an alcohol-based compound (Claim 3).
- (iii) Wherein the nonionic compound is a fluorinated hydrocarbon (Claim 4).
- (iv) Wherein the nonionic compound is a polyalkylsiloxane (Claim 5).
- (v) Wherein the nonionic compound is a fluorine-containing polymer (Claim6).
- (vi) Wherein the nonionic compound used is (CO₂-affinitive moiety)-X- or X-(CO₂-affinitive moiety)-X- of 1) or 2) [Claim 12].
 - (vii) Wherein the nonionic compound is one of 1) to 3) [Claim 13].
- (viii) Wherein the nonionic compound comprises (CO₂-affinitive moiety)-X- or X- (CO₂-affinirive moiety)-X- of 1) or 2) [Claim 16].
 - (ix) Wherein the nonionic compound is one of 1) to 3) [Claim 17].

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the

Page 5

claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner: See above.

The following claim(s) are generic: none.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the CO₂-affinitive moieties all have different chemical structures and the nonionic compounds all have different chemical structures.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDNA WONG whose telephone number is (571) 272-1349. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am to 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Edna Wong/ Primary Examiner Art Unit 1795

EW January 23, 2010